

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/131,076

Applicant(s)

Kligman et al.

Examiner

Susan Tran

Group Art Unit

1615

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Susan Tran(3) Kligman(2) William Schwarze(4) Kishore GollamudiDate of Interview Feb 15, 2001Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.Claim(s) discussed: claim on record

Identification of prior art discussed:

Prior art on record

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Dr Kligman & Mr Schwarze discussed the criticality of the amount of Salicylic acid in instant invention and the distinction between chemical peeling & exfoliating agent. The prior art cited teach lower amounts because of toxic nature of the agents. Based on the discussion it was suggested that any comparative data with art known agents (at higher amount) be submitted. Suggestion was also made to recite the specific solvents & the higher percentage of the salicylic acid & "consisting essentially of" in the independent claim.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

GOLLAMUDI S. KISHORE, Ph.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1600

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.